



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/958,570	10/28/1997	RICHARD J. GREGORY	16930-000921	3556	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER 8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 941113834			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			GUZO,	GUZO, DAVID	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1636	1636	

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A
0%
J

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Anti-en October	08/958,570	GREGORY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David Guzo	1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 February 2004 and 19 April 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42-74</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 57 is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>42-56 and 58-74</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)  objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/19/04.	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	itent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 08/958,570

Art Unit: 1636

## **Detailed Action**

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 43-56 and 58-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 43 (and dependent claims) are vague in that there is no antecedent basis for the term "the animal" in line 7 of the claim.

Claim 52 is vague in that there is no antecedent basis for the term "said foreign functional protein" in claim 42. There is no antecedent basis for the term "the E1a deletion" in the claims from which it depends. Claim 52 is also vague in that it recites a deletion of up to 40 nucleotides positioned 3' to E1b or protein IX deletions. E1b and protein IX are proteins and it is unclear how a deletion of nucleotides can be made 3' to a protein sequence. Possibly applicants mean to recite a deletion 3' to the E1b coding sequence? With regard to the protein IX deletions, it is unclear how many deletions applicants are referring to since the claims from which this claim depends only recite a partial or total deletion (singular) of a protein IX encoding DNA sequence.

Claim 58 is vague in the recitation of the phrase "...the proliferation of a tumor cells...". Cells should be singular in this case.

Claim 64 is vague in that it is unclear what "cell" is being referred to in the claim, is it the tumor cell recited in claim 58? Also, the claim is vague in that it recites that the

Application/Control Number: 08/958,570

Art Unit: 1636

cell is present in a mammal but the method claim from which it depends recites a method for reducing the proliferation of a tumor cell in a mammal.

Claim 69 is vague in that there is no antecedent basis for the term "said foreign functional protein" in claim 58. There is no antecedent basis for the term "the E1a deletion" in the claims from which it depends. Claim 69 is also vague in that it recites a deletion of up to 40 nucleotides positioned 3' to E1b or protein IX deletions. E1b is a protein and it is unclear how a deletion of nucleotides can be made 3' to a protein. Possibly applicants mean to recite a deletion 3' to the E1b coding sequence? With regard to the protein IX deletions, it is unclear how many deletions applicants are referring to since the claims from which this claim depends only recite a partial or total deletion (singular) of a protein IX sequence.

Claim 64 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 64 does not further limit the claim from which it depends because it recites that the cell is present in a mammal but the method claim from which it depends recites a method for reducing the proliferation of a tumor cell in a mammal.

A Sequence Listing for this application has been created based upon the computer readable form supplied in the parent application.

Application/Control Number: 08/958,570

Art Unit: 1636

Claim 57 is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Guzo, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0767. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Irem Yucel, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Guzo June 26, 2004 PRIMARY EXAMINER